

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/058,776		01/30/2002	Shahram Davari	PAT 2229-2	4170	
26123	7590	10/13/2005		EXAMINER		
		ER GERVAIS LLP GE PLAZA	HO, DUC CHI			
100 QUEE	N STREI	ET SUITE 1100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
OTTAWA,	ON K	1P 1J9	2665			
CANADA				DATE MAILED: 10/13/200	DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	;V					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/058,776	DAVARI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duc C. Ho ,	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the country of the coun	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	anuary 2002.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So on is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion Noved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/058,776

Art Unit: 2665

Claim Objections

1. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 18 has typo errors, since it seems the term "the or" at lines 8-9 is not needed.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(e) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by louras et al.
- (U.S. 6,445,707), hereinafter referred to as louras.

Regarding claim 18, louras discloses broadcast rate control allocation (BRCA) for congestion avoidance in satellite ATM network.

In louras the satellite 10-fig.1 employs a close-loop control or feedback to control the rate of the input of the satellite to avoid congestion, see col. 14, lines 26-44.

a) measuring the rate of output data flow from the device at an output section of the device (In downlink channel, the on-board switch of the satellite broadcasts a fair

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/058,776 Page 3

Art Unit: 2665

rate at which the user terminal 1-fig. 3 requested. In other word, the data rate at the output section 36 is measured, see col. 15-line 66 to col.16-line 9)

b) transmitting the rate of output flow from the output section to at least one input section (depending on the rate adaptation being broadcast from the satellite to the user terminal, the user terminal in the uplink channel can either request the rate adaptation to be at increase, decrease or no change); and

c) adjusting the rate of input data flow at each input section based on the rate of output data flow (Based on the received request from the user terminal, the on-board switch of the satellite broadcasts the requested rate to avoid the congestion).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-17 are allowed.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fan et al. (US 2002/0122428); Jones et al. (US 2003/0058802); Greeberg et al. (US 6,003,062) are cited to show feedback priority modulation rate controller, which is considered pertinent to the claimed invention.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3147. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (571) 272-3155.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

White

Duc Ho

10-12-05